

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/519,875 | 03/04/2005 | Lorenz Camenzind | P/543-108 | 9318 |
| 2352 7590 11/07/2008 OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 A VENUE OF THE AMERICAS | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR | |
| NEW YORK, NY 100368403 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/07/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/519.875 CAMENZIND ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3724 Omar Flores-Sánchez All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Omar Flores-Sánchez. (2) FABER, ROBERT. (4)____. Date of Interview: 03 November 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: . . Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues that Aoki is not capable of suspending the load, and having three spindles each foldably securing at least one cutting tool or blade. The Office agreed with applicant's argument. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Omar Flores-Sánchez/ Examiner, Art Unit 3724 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)